Early Places With Metals:

Rosh Horesha, Shanidar Cave

In the large and confusing discussion about "Who, When, and Where used metals for the very first time", I have covered the Turkish places in some detail. To complement my little overview of early places with metals I need to include the non-Turkish contenders. Around my place of origin in Suebia / South Germany no very old metal uses have been found so far (must be a slight oversight of my ancestors, given their otherwise impeccable record), so I give you Rosh Horesha (Israel) and for political neutrality also the Shanidar cave (Iraq). I can do that in one module because there is not much to report.

Many older articles about the subject refer to the copper found in those places. But is it true? Newer articles seem to be a bit more careful: "Indeed, the earliest interest in copper minerals was due to their distinctive optical properties: the use of green and blue beads and pigments goes back to the eleventh millennium BC, as documented from the Shanidar Cave in northern Iraq and Rosh Horesha in Israel". Copper minerals are mentioned, and not copper.

Let's see what one can find out about that easily.

Rosh Horesha

is a sizeable ancient settlement place in the Sinai and associated with the (later) Natufian Culture. This was an Epipaleolithic culture that existed from 13 000 to 9 800 years ago (i.e. 11 000 BC - 7 800 BC) in the Levant (roughly what is now Israel, Lebanon, Syria, Palestine...) and produced remarkable art. "Epipaleolithic" is one of those big words. It means "on top of" (epi) the paleolithic or "old" (paleo) "stone" (lithic) age. It comes before and leads into the mesolithic ("middle" stone age) that gave way to the neolithic ("new" or "young" stone age). Those archeologists, in contrast to you and me, know their (ancient) Greek!

The Natufians were a bit unusual because they became sedentary before the introduction of agriculture. "The Natufian communities are possibly the ancestors of the builders of the first Neolithic settlements of the region, which may have been the earliest in the world. There is some evidence for the deliberate cultivation of cereals, specifically rye, by the Natufian culture" says Wikipedia. They have been credited with introducing agriculture to the world at large. After the discovery of all the Anatolian neolithic towns that view is now been contested. There are many Natufian sites - and no metals there except, maybe, for Rosh Horesha.

So what about the metals found there? Forget it. Short of going into the (old) original literature, nothing is easily found out about that issue. It amounts to few sentences found here and there that state that at the Rosh Horesha site a few green - blue copper beads have been found.

How old are these beads? Well, a recent paper gives results from a C14 analysis: "The oldest determination ... from Rosh Horesha is clearly an outlier at 11,140 ± 200 BC. The two remaining dates from this site fall in the early-mid 9th millennium". So let's take 9 700 BC as a rough date for Rosh Horesha. If that would be the age of real copper beads, they would be considerably older than their Turkish counterparts, indeed.

However! In newer literature we read, for example:

"Indeed, the use of blue and green copper ores for beads, pendants and pigments was a critical step in the Neolithic, occurring at early agricultural and agro-pastoralist sites dating to the eleventh–ninth millennium BC at sites such as Shanidar Cave and Zawi Chemi in north-eastern Iraq, Hallan Çemi in eastern Turkey and Rosh Horesha in Israel", or:

"As early as the 11th millennium BC, metalliferous minerals were used as raw materials for pigments and ornaments, such as a perforated pendant possibly made of malachite from Shanidar in Iraq and green stone beads from Rosh Horesha in Israel".

One might take that as in indication that those beads were not made from native copper but from malachite, like many others found meanwhile all over neolithic settlements. In fact, those old guys and gals had an obsession about green jewelry items (or rather amulets) like beads and pendants as shown in a recent publication 2, and went out of their way to get suitable minerals, including malachite.

It is easy to surmise that the color green stands for life and fertility and thus was treasured. By the same reasoning, the color yellow in those places might symbolize the barren desert and death. That might be the reason why gold was disliked and never used before - roughly - 5 000 BC.

The article mentioned above shows several green pendants definitely not made from (later oxidized) copper that look rather similar to the one from:

Shanidar Cave.

is a place in present day Iraq that was and is famous for its Neanderthals, dating way back to around 50 000 BC. The cave was excavated by Rose and Ralph Solecki in the 1950s, and besides the Neanderthals they also found relatively recent human remains (from an adult female), dated to about 13 000 BC, together with a green and well-worn pendant of malachite or (oxidized) copper. Metallurgical studies found malachite that contained a high amount of copper. Here is the pendant:
So what do we conclude? Was the pendant made from pure copper and just has oxidized a lot after 15000 years or so? Copper, as we know from looking at not-so-old-roofs and gutters, does turn green eventually. Or was it made from what the maker thought was a large piece of good solid malachite and it just happened to have some elemental copper inside?

We don't know for sure. Maybe one could find out. But that would mean a destructive analysis and that is unthinkable.

All things considered, my verdict is:

| Rosh Horesha, Shanidar Cave (and similar sites) do not prove that metals were use before - roughly - 8 000 BC |