

## 2.9 The classical ideal gas

### *Calculation of the micro canonical state sum (phase volume)*

For the calculation of the thermodynamic potential of a classical ideal gas we need the partition function, i.e. the phase volume of the "free particles", which are in a box with volume  $V$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(E, V_s, N) &= \frac{1}{N!h^{3N}} \int_{H=\sum_i \frac{p_i^2}{2m} + H_{wall}} d^3p_1 \dots d^3p_N d^3r_1 \dots d^3r_N \\ &= \frac{V^N}{N!h^{3N}} \frac{\pi^{\frac{3N}{2}}}{\left(\frac{3N}{2}\right)!} (2mE)^{\frac{3N}{2}} \approx v^N \left(\frac{4\pi m}{3h^2} \epsilon\right)^{\frac{3N}{2}} e^{\frac{5N}{2}}\end{aligned}\quad (2.58)$$

here we have used the Stirling formula  $N! \approx N^N e^{-N} = \left(\frac{N}{e}\right)^N$ .  
Finally we get with  $\epsilon := \frac{E}{N}$

$$\begin{aligned}S(E, N, V) &= k \ln(\Phi(E, V_s, N)) = k \ln \left( v^N \left(\frac{4\pi m}{3h^2} \epsilon\right)^{\frac{3N}{2}} e^{\frac{5N}{2}} \right) \\ &= Nk \ln(v) + \frac{3}{2} Nk \ln(\epsilon) + k \ln \left( \left(\frac{4\pi m}{3h^2}\right)^{\frac{3N}{2}} e^{\frac{5N}{2}} \right)\end{aligned}\quad (2.59)$$

By differentiating we find:

$$\frac{1}{T} = \frac{\partial S(E, N, V)}{\partial E} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{kN}{E} \quad \text{leading to} \quad E = \frac{3}{2} NkT \quad , \quad (2.60)$$

and

$$\frac{p}{T} = \frac{\partial S(E, N, V)}{\partial V} = \frac{kN}{V} \quad \text{leading to} \quad pV = kNT \quad . \quad (2.61)$$

Let

$$\lambda(T) := \frac{h}{\sqrt{2\pi mkT}} \quad , \quad (2.62)$$

then we get

$$S(T, V, N) = kN \left[ \ln \left( \frac{v}{\lambda^3(T)} \right) + \frac{5}{2} \right] \quad , \quad (2.63)$$

or

$$F(T, V, N) = E - TS = \frac{3}{2} NkT - NkT \left[ \frac{5}{2} + \ln \left( \frac{v}{\lambda^3(T)} \right) \right] = -NkT \left[ 1 + \ln \left( \frac{v}{\lambda^3(T)} \right) \right] \quad . \quad (2.64)$$

The function  $\lambda(T)$  is except for the factor  $\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{3}}$  the de Broglie wavelength (see above) of a particle with the thermal energy  $\epsilon = \frac{3}{2}kT$ . So our results only hold if  $\lambda^3(T) \ll \frac{V}{N}$ .

### ***Summing up all approximations:***

- Each particle occupies a volume which is much bigger than the uncertainty in space. That the particles are not distinguishable (the symmetry relations) is not important any more.
- Not only the states with the lowest energy levels will be occupied in the phase space, i.e. we must not count the states exactly.
- We need high temperatures and extremely diluted gases.

### ***The grand canonical potential and variations of the number of particles***

Starting with

$$\mu = \frac{\partial F}{\partial N} = \frac{F}{N} + kT = -kT \ln \left( \frac{v}{\lambda^3(T)} \right) \quad , \quad \text{i.e.} \quad N = \frac{V}{\lambda^3(T)} e^{\frac{\mu}{kT}} \quad , \quad (2.65)$$

we get

$$\Omega(T, V, \mu) = F - \mu N = -NkT = -\frac{kT}{\lambda^3(T)} V e^{\frac{\mu}{kT}} \quad . \quad (2.66)$$

Generally holds:

$$\Omega(T, V, \mu) = -kT \sum_i \ln \left( \sum_{n_i} \exp \left( -n_i \frac{\epsilon_i - \mu}{kT} \right) \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \langle N \rangle = -\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \mu} = \sum_i \frac{\sum_{n_i} n_i \exp \left( -n_i \frac{\epsilon_i - \mu}{kT} \right)}{\sum_{n_i} \exp \left( -n_i \frac{\epsilon_i - \mu}{kT} \right)} . \quad (2.67)$$

Consequently we find

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Omega}{\partial \mu^2} = -\frac{\partial \langle N \rangle}{\partial \mu} = \frac{1}{kT} \left[ \langle N^2 \rangle - \langle N \rangle^2 \right] . \quad (2.68)$$

Using Eq. (2.66) we get

$$\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \mu}(T, V, \mu) = -\frac{1}{\lambda^3(T)} V e^{\frac{\mu}{kT}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial^2 \Omega}{\partial \mu^2}(T, V, \mu) = -\frac{1}{kT} \frac{1}{\lambda^3(T)} V e^{\frac{\mu}{kT}} \quad (2.69)$$

The relative variance of the particle number is therefor

$$\frac{\langle N^2 \rangle - \langle N \rangle^2}{\langle N \rangle^2} = \frac{kT}{\langle N \rangle^2} \frac{\partial^2 \Omega}{\partial \mu^2} = \frac{1}{\langle N \rangle} , \quad (2.70)$$

which is the solution for the Poisson distribution. For macroscopic systems with  $N \approx 10^{23}$  particles the variance in  $N$  is negligible small.

Equivalent results hold for all other "generalizes forces" in thermodynamics. Although we only fix the "generalizes coordinates" of a system the forces are extremely well defined. The Legendre transformation just switches from coordinates to forces, which are in both contacts well defined and contain the same information. A random process leads to an extremely reliable result, if the involved numbers are large enough. Thermodynamic is just mathematics and its results are almost as exact as pure mathematics.