


Simple Proofs of Bloch's Theorem

The Proof

Basics

We first give a very short proof for a special case which is taken from the book of Kittel ("Quantum Theory of Solids"). It treats the one-dimensional case and is only valid if ψ is not degenerate, i.e. there exists no other wavefunction with the same \mathbf{k} and energy E .

- We consider a one-dimensional ring of lattice points with the geometry as shown in the picture.
- This is of course just a representation of a one-dimensional crystal consisting of N atoms with spacing a and [periodic boundary conditions](#).
- The potential V thus is periodic in x with period length a , i.e. we have $V(x) = V(x + s \cdot a)$ with $s = \text{integer}$.



The decisive thought invokes *symmetry arguments*. Since no particular coordinate x along the ring is different in any way from the coordinate $(x + a)$, we expect that the value of any wave function $\psi(x)$ will differ at most by some factor C from the value at $(x + a)$, i.e.

$$\psi(x + a) = C \cdot \psi(x)$$

- If we now proceed from $(x + a)$ to $(x + 2a)$, or to $x + Na$, we obtain

$$\psi(x + 2a) = C^2 \cdot \psi(x)$$

$$\psi(x + Na) = C^N \cdot \psi(x) = \psi(x)$$

- because after N steps we are back at the beginning.
- We thus have $C^N = 1$ and C must be one of the N roots of 1, i.e.

$$C = \exp \frac{i \cdot 2\pi s}{N}$$

- With $s = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, N-1$

We now have $\psi(x + a) = \psi(x) \cdot \exp(i2\pi s/N)$ and this equation is satisfied if

$$\psi(x) = u_{\mathbf{k}}(x) \cdot \exp \frac{i \cdot 2\pi \cdot s \cdot x}{N \cdot a}$$

- With $u_{\mathbf{k}}(x) = u_{\mathbf{k}}(x + a)$, i.e. for any function u that has the periodicity of the lattice.
- Try it:

$$\psi(x+a) = u_k(x+a) \cdot \exp \frac{i \cdot 2\pi \cdot s \cdot (x+a)}{N \cdot a}$$

$$\psi(x+a) = u_k(x) \cdot \exp \frac{i \cdot 2\pi \cdot s \cdot x}{N \cdot a} \cdot \exp \frac{i \cdot 2\pi \cdot s}{N} = \psi(x) \cdot \exp \frac{i \cdot 2\pi \cdot s}{N}$$

- If we introduce $k = 2\pi s / Na$ we have Bloch's theorem for the one-dimensional case.
q.e.d.

The Problem

- This "proof", however, is not quite satisfactory. It is not perfectly clear if solutions could exist that do not obey Bloch's theorem, and the meaning of the index k is left open. In fact, we could have dropped the index without losing anything at this stage.
- It does, however, give an idea about the power of the symmetry considerations.
- A very similar proof is contained in the relevant Alonso–Finn book ("Quantum and Statistical Physics"). It uses a slightly different approach in arguing about symmetries.
- Again, we consider the one-dimensional case, i.e. $V(x) = V(x+a)$ with a = lattice constant.
- But now we argue that the *probability* of finding an electron at x , i.e. $|\psi(x)|^2$, must be the same at any indistinguishable position, i.e.

$$|\psi(x)|^2 = |\psi(x+a)|^2$$

- This implies

$$\psi(x+a) = C \cdot \psi(x)$$

$$|C|^2 = 1$$

- We thus can express C as

$$C = \exp(i \cdot k \cdot a)$$

- for all a and k . At this point k is an arbitrary parameter (with dimension $1/m$). This ensures that $|C|^2 = \exp(ika) \cdot \exp(-ika) = 1$
- We thus have

$$\psi(x+a) = \exp(ika) \cdot \psi(x)$$

- And this is already a very general form of Bloch's theorem as shown below.

Writing it straight forward for the three-dimensional case we obtain the general version of Bloch's theorem:

$$\psi_k(\underline{r} + \underline{T}) = \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \underline{T}) \cdot \psi_k(\underline{r})$$

- with \underline{T} = translation vector of the lattice and \underline{r} = arbitrary vector in space.
- The index k now symbolizes that we are discussing that particular solution of the Schrödinger equation that goes with the wave vector \underline{k} .
- The generalization to three dimensions is not really justified, but a rigorous mathematical treatment yields the same result. The more common form of the Bloch theorem with the modulation function $u(\mathbf{k})$ can be obtained from the (one-dimensional) form of the Bloch theorem given above as follows:

- Multiplying $\psi(\mathbf{x}) = \exp(-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{a}) \cdot \psi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a})$ with $\exp(-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x})$ yields

$$\exp(-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}) \cdot \psi(\mathbf{x}) = \exp(-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}) \cdot \exp(-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{a}) \cdot \psi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a}) = \exp(-i\mathbf{k} \cdot [\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a}]) \cdot \psi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a})$$

▮ This shows unambiguously that $\exp(-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}) \cdot \psi(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$ is periodic with the periodicity of the lattice.

- And this, again, gives Bloch's theorem:

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \exp(i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x})$$

▮ Once more, no index \mathbf{k} at ψ or \mathbf{u} is required. We also did not require specific boundary conditions. The meaning of \mathbf{k} , however, is left unspecified. Of course, the plane wave part of the expression makes it clear that \mathbf{k} has the role of a wave vector, but it has not been explicitly introduced as such.