


Buckling

Calculating a critical phenomena, a situation where something changes abruptly to something else, is usually not so easy. How many straws can a camel bear before one more breaks its back? ¹⁾ So be happy that it is relatively easy to get a grasp for buckling. That is to a large extent due to the fact that buckling of material under compressive stress is an extremely important phenomenon for all builders. If the wall of your castle or the columns holding the roof of your cathedral start to buckle, it's all over. Major minds worked on the problem and it was no less a guy than **Leonhard Euler** (1707 – 1783) who derived the correct formula for the critical force or better critical stress. Since then other great brains have derived simpler ways to tackle the problem, and here I will use **Richard Feynman's** way.

We look at the situation where the beam has actually buckled. We can describe that as shown below:



We need a force F acting on both ends with reversed signs as shown. This force induces a momentum or torque $T(x) = F \cdot y$ if you "walk" along the buckled beam in x -direction. The drawing tries to make clear why. Using our "master" equation for beam bending, we get

$$T = F \cdot y = \frac{Y \cdot I_A}{r}$$

We have r , the radius of curvatures; Y , Young's modulus, and I_A , the [area moment of inertia](#) as the crucial quantities.

As always, for small deflections y we can approximate the radius of curvature by $1 / r = - d^2y / dx^2$, yielding

$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = - \frac{F \cdot y}{Y \cdot I_A}$$

Hello? This is a simple differential equation for $y(x)$ with the obvious solution

$$y(x) = \text{const.} \cdot \sin \frac{\pi \cdot x}{L}$$

We could easily derive the **const.** but we don't need to do that. What we do instead is to take the second derivative of the solution and compare it to the equation right above, i.e.

$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = -\frac{\pi^2}{L^2} \cdot \text{const.} \cdot \sin \frac{\pi \cdot x}{L} = -\frac{\pi^2}{L^2} y(x)$$

Comparing this to the equation two steps above and rewriting it for the force F , we obtain the final and rather interesting result:

$$F = \pi^2 \frac{Y \cdot I_A}{L^2}$$

This is an interesting result because it appeared almost by magic. Well, I told you that Feynman is tricky. It is really interesting, however, because the Force F is a simple *number*, independent of the variables y of the problem. The force just depends of the geometry (L , I_A) and the material (Y) of the beam. That's funny because in the real world you can apply all kinds of forces, smaller and larger than the force F calculated above. What does that mean?

The unavoidable conclusion is that we actually calculated the critical force F_{crit} . For applied forces smaller than F_{crit} , all of the above does not apply, i.e. there is no deflection y and thus no buckling, For forces just equal or somewhat larger than the critical force, we have buckling with the (by definition small) deflection y . If we increase the force well beyond the critical force, our approximation for the radius of curvature breaks down, and we have to use the [full equation for \$r\$](#) .
That is not all that difficult but rather tedious and we won't go into that.